

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 CLINTON HARRY STODDARD,

No. CIV S-04-1517-FCD-CMK

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
15 CALIFORNIA,

16 Defendant.  
17 \_\_\_\_\_/

18 Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, filed this action on August 2, 2004. The matter  
19 was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

20 On October 21, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations  
21 herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections  
22 to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Plaintiff has not filed  
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and  
25 recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to  
26 keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(d),

1 service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

2 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be  
3 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

5 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 21, 2005, are adopted in  
6 full;

7 2. This action is dismissed for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with  
8 court orders and rules; and

9 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

10 DATED: December 1, 2005

11  
12 /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr.  
13 FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26